

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,070	04/05/2001	Jenny A. Tyler	· 21087000100	7266
22434 7	7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			JUNG, WILLIAM C	
P.O. BOX 702 OAKLAND. 0	50 CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3737	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		700				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/828,070	TYLER, JENNY A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William Jung	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed birty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	<u>8 May 2005</u> .					
<i>'</i> —	a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	en received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a l	not of the contined copies he					
Attachment(s)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ___

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/828,070

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Lang et al* (US 5,671,741).

Lang et al anticipate all claimed features in claims 1-3 and 6-8.

Claims 1 and 6: Lang et al disclose a method for analyzing injured tissue and evaluating quality of repaired tissue based on quantized magnetic resonance (MR) data using an MRI measurement acquisition system comprising the steps of a selecting at least one MR parameter to characterize injured tissue (col. 2, lines 7-19), selecting a suitable pulse sequence to calculate and quantify that selected MR parameter (col. 2, lines 13-16), using the selected pulse sequence, acquiring multiple sets of MR signals from the injured tissue at an unchanged position relative to the measurement acquisition system (col. 2, lines 20-34), calculating and quantifying the magnetic resonance parameters on a pixel by pixel basis, determining biological properties of interest of repaired tissue structure by biological means including at least on of histological, biochemical, histochemical, and biomechanical, and correlating quantitative ranges of the

Application/Control Number: 09/828,070

Art Unit: 3737

selected MR parameters with selected biological properties of interest to determine extent of injury or state of tissue repair (col. 2, lines 35-48; col. 8, lines 25-47).

Claims 2 and 7: Lang et al further disclose that the MR parameter described above includes T_1 , T_2 , magnetization transfer and ratio (MT and MR are function of gradient echo technique where the gradient echo B1 is a ratio and transfer from the magnetic field of the MR system B_o (col. 7, line 34 – col. 8, line 47).

Claims 3 and 8: Lang et al disclose that the above method can be ally to musculosketal tissue, which includes soft bone such as cartilages and ligaments (col. 5, lines 52-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 5, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lang et al* as applied to claims 1-3 and 6-8 above, and further in view of *Farace et al* (US 5,995,863).

Lang et al substantially disclose all claimed features in claims 4, 5, 9, and 10. However, Lang et al is silent as to display method. In Farace et al, displaying of MR image data includes display monitor where the images may be color or gray scale (black and white) to differentiate the type of tissues being displayed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Farace et

Art Unit: 3737

al's display method of MRI data to Lang et al's tissue differentiation to achieve the claimed invention.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,070 Page 5

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

いい July 26, 2005 ALI IMAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER